

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087901,338	07/28/97	KEESMAN		G	PHB-33946A
LAURIE E GATHMAN U S PHILIPS CORPORATION		WM01/0214	一	EXAMINER	
				RAO, A	
580 WHITE PL	_AINS ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TARRYTOWN NY 10591		,		2613	47
	r			DATE MAILED	: 02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	08/901,338	KEESMAN, GERRIT J.				
, (a. 1. c. 1. g. 1. c.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy S. Rao	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either a for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, application (CPA) under 37 CF	evoid abandonment of this applic timely filed amendment which pl cant may obtain further examinat	ation. A proper reply to a aces the application in condition	ì r			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check only a) or b)]					
 a)	vo months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (ontinues to run from the mailing date of the	final rejection,				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 February 2001</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFF	• •	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notice	ce of Appeal and Appeal Brief				
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	t			
6.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
8. \boxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if any):				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:		ANDY RAO				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 14.		PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		The second secon				
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a	ı)∏has b)∏ has not been∕apøf	oved by the Examiner.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
11.☐ Other:						

Application No. 08/901,338

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons as discussed by the Examiner in the Final Rejection of Paper 44 mailed on 11/01/01.